| 1  | BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General  |                     |  |
|----|---|---------------------|--|
| 2  | of the State of California E. A. JONES III, State Bar No. 71375                                     |                     |  |
| 3  | Deputy Attorney General ELAINE GYURKO   |                     |  |
| 4  | Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice   |                     |  |
| 5  | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702<br>Los Angeles, CA 90013  |                     |  |
| 6  | Telephone: (213) 897-4944<br>Facsimile: (213) 897-9395  |                     |  |
| 7  | Attorneys for Complainant   |                     |  |
| 8  | BEFORE THE  |                     |  |
| 9  | RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA                           |                     |  |
| 10 | STATE OF CAL  | IFORNIA             |  |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:   | Case No. S-371      |  |
| 12 | LEONARD DOMINGUEZ<br>3621 Goldbar Drive   | STATEMENT OF ISSUES |  |
| 13 | Bakersfield, California 93312   |                     |  |
| 14 | Respondent.   |                     |  |
| 15 |   |                     |  |
| 16 | Complainant alleges:  |                     |  |
| 17 | <u>PARTIES</u>  |                     |  |
| 18 | 1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in                          |                     |  |
| 19 | her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California, (Board) |                     |  |
| 20 | Department of Consumer Affairs.   |                     |  |
| 21 | 2. On or about June 20, 2005, the Board received an application for a                               |                     |  |
| 22 | Respiratory Care Practitioner License from Leonard Dominguez (Respondent). On or about June         |                     |  |
| 23 | 16, 2005, respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,      |                     |  |
| 24 | answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on May 2, 2006.   |                     |  |
| 25 | <u>JURISDICTION</u>   |                     |  |
| 26 | 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of                      |                     |  |
| 27 | the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless   |                     |  |
| 28 | otherwise indicated.  |                     |  |
|    |   |                     |  |

subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) in which event the court order of commitment or confinement is prima facie evidence of that commitment or confinement. . . . "

### 9. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

10. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

" . . .

"(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless driving while under the influence. . . ."

### COST RECOVERY

11. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the

board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

### 12. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

13. Section 3753.1, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Crime)

14. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 3750, subdivision (d), 3752, and 480, and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, sections 1399.370, subdivisions (c), in conjunction with section 3732, subdivision (b), in that respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The circumstances are as follows:

## October 2, 2003 Conviction

- A. On July 11, 2003, Lompoc police officers responded to a report of two unconscious males in a parked vehicle. When the officers arrived, the vehicle was in the middle of an intersection with the engine running. One officer had to vigorously shake respondent in order to have him regain consciousness. The officer noticed that respondent appeared to be extremely intoxicated. When respondent was asked if he had been drinking, he stated he had about 4-5 beers. He also said he had taken prescription Vicodin a few hours earlier. Respondent failed to successfully complete the field sobriety tests.
- B. On August 5, 2003, a felony complaint was filed against respondent in a criminal proceeding in Santa Barbara County Superior Court, Case No.

1124791. Respondent was charged with violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol with three prior convictions, a felony (count 1); section 23152(b), driving with .08% or higher blood alcohol level with three prior convictions, a felony (count 2); and section 14601.2(a), driving with privilege suspended for prior DUI conviction, a misdemeanor (count 3).

C. On October 2, 2003, respondent was convicted upon his plea of nolo contendere to driving with .08% or higher blood alcohol level with three prior convictions (count 2). He was placed on probation for five years on a number of terms and conditions. The court ordered him to serve 180 days in county jail (with credit for 44 days); complete a six-month residential treatment program upon release from custody; complete an eighteen-month multiple offender DUI program; and pay fines and penalty assessments of \$250.00 or complete 40 hours of community service, plus pay a restitution fine of \$200.00 and a vehicle code fine of \$1,800.00. His driving privilege was suspended for four years. Counts 1 and 3 of the complaint were dismissed.

# January 25, 1999 Conviction

- D. On December 4, 1998, Lompoc police officers responded to a report of a traffic collision in a shopping center. Employees of Albertson's informed the officers that respondent's vehicle had hit a tree in the parking lot. When the officers located respondent, they noticed that he was swaying back and forth, there was a strong odor of alcohol on his breath and person, his eyes were bloodshot and watery, and his speech was slow, slurred and deliberate. When asked how much he had been drinking, respondent said, "I had a few." He failed to successfully complete the field sobriety tests. The results of his breath test indicated his blood alcohol level was .22%.
- E. On December 18, 1998, a complaint was filed against respondent in a criminal proceeding in Santa Barbara County Municipal Court, Case No. L988608. Respondent was charged with violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a),

driving under the influence of alcohol (count 1) and section 23152(b), driving with .08% or higher blood alcohol level (count 2) with allegations of prior convictions; section 14601.2(a), driving with privilege suspended for a prior DUI conviction (count 3); section 23247(e), operating a vehicle without a functioning ignition interlock device (count 4); and section 16028(a), failure to provide evidence of financial responsibility (count 5), an infraction.

F. On January 25, 1999, respondent was convicted upon his plea of nolo contendere to driving under the influence of alcohol (count 1) and driving with privilege suspended for a prior DUI conviction (count 3). He was placed on probation for five years on a number of terms and conditions. The court ordered him to serve 180 days in county jail, attend a multi-offender alcohol program and pay a fine of \$1,500.00. His driving privilege was suspended for three years. Counts 2, 4, and 5 of the complaint were dismissed.

# August 14, 1997 Conviction

- G. On April 10, 1997, a complaint was filed against respondent in a criminal proceeding in Santa Barbara County Municipal Court, Case No. 970304660. Respondent was charged with violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol and refusing a chemical test (count 1) and section 23152(b), driving with .08% or higher blood alcohol level (count 2). On or about May 30, 1997, an amended complaint was filed adding the allegation of a prior conviction on April 28, 1997, for violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a).
- H. On August 14, 1997, respondent was convicted upon his plea of nolo contendere to driving with .08% or higher blood alcohol level with a prior DUI conviction (count 2). He was placed on probation for three years on a number of terms and conditions. The court ordered him to serve 60 days in county jail (with credit for 1 day), attend a multi-offender alcohol program, and pay a fine of \$1,429.00 plus a \$100.00 restitution fine. His driving privilege was suspended for

| 1  | one year. Count 1 of the complaint was dismissed.   |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | April 28, 1997 Conviction   |  |  |
| 3  | I. On April 8, 1997, a complaint was filed against respondent in a                          |  |  |
| 4  | criminal proceeding in Santa Barbara County Municipal Court, Case No. L976760.              |  |  |
| 5  | Respondent was charged with violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving                |  |  |
| 6  | under the influence of alcohol and refusing a chemical test.                                |  |  |
| 7  | J. On April 28, 1997, respondent was convicted upon his plea of nolo                        |  |  |
| 8  | contendere to driving under the influence of alcohol and refusing a chemical test.          |  |  |
| 9  | He was placed on probation for three years on a number of terms and conditions.             |  |  |
| 10 | The court ordered him to serve 48 hours in county jail, attend a first-offender             |  |  |
| 11 | alcohol program, and pay a fine of \$1,500.00.  |  |  |
| 12 | K. The following crimes are substantially related to the qualifications,                    |  |  |
| 13 | functions and duties of a respiratory care practitioner: (1) driving with .08% or           |  |  |
| 14 | higher blood alcohol level with three prior convictions; (2) driving under the              |  |  |
| 15 | influence (DUI) of alcohol; (3) driving with privilege suspended for a prior DUI            |  |  |
| 16 | conviction; and (4) driving with .08% or higher blood alcohol level with a prior            |  |  |
| 17 | conviction.   |  |  |
| 18 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION  |  |  |
| 19 | (Confinement for Intemperate Use of Alcohol)  |  |  |
| 20 | 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section                        |  |  |
| 21 | 3750.5, subdivisions (c) and (e) in conjunction with section 3732, subdivision (b), in that |  |  |
| 22 | Respondent has been confined by a court of competent jurisdiction for intemperate use of    |  |  |
| 23 | alcohol. The circumstances are as follows:  |  |  |
| 24 | A. The facts and circumstances alleged in paragraph 14 above are                            |  |  |
| 25 | incorporated here as if fully set forth.  |  |  |
| 26 | <u>PRAYER</u>   |  |  |
| 27 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters                       |  |  |

herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:

| 1                               | 1.                         | Denying the application of Leonard Dominguez for a Respiratory            |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 2                               | Care Practitioner License; |   |
| 3                               | 2.                         | Directing Leonard Dominguez to pay the Respiratory Care Board the         |
| 4                               | costs of the investiga     | ation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs |
| 5                               | of probation monitoring;   |   |
| 6                               | 3.                         | Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and              |
| 7                               | proper.                    |   |
| 8                               | DATED: January 12, 2007    |   |
| 9                               |                            |   |
| 10                              |                            | Original signed by Liane Zimmerman by:                                    |
| 11                              |                            | STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer   |
| 12                              |                            | Respiratory Care Board of California<br>Department of Consumer Affairs    |
| 13                              |                            | State of California<br>Complainant  |
| 14                              |                            | •   |
| 15                              |                            |   |
| 16                              |                            |   |
| 17                              |                            |   |
| 18                              |                            |   |
| 19                              |                            |   |
| 20                              |                            |   |
| 21                              |                            |   |
| 22                              |                            |   |
| 23                              |                            |   |
| 24                              |                            |   |
| <ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul> |                            |   |
| 26                              |                            |   |
| 28                              |                            |   |
| 40                              | I                          |   |